

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLÌCATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/134,453	08/14/1998	RICHARD A. GRAFF	GRAFF-P2-98	8015
	90 07/12/2002	e ^e .		
PETER K TRZYNA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 7131 CHICAGO, IL	606807131		ROSEN, NICHOLAS D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/12/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/134,453	GRAFF, RICHARD A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

HE REPLY FILED 24 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. herefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a residual rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth it above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any reduce the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 May 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or	he
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nt
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 76-79,102 and 103.	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-75, 80-101, 104-180, and 226-257</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0.□ Other:	
Michelus D. Romen - Hyph Site	
Nicholae O. Rosen July 9, 2002 FRIMARY EXAMINER	
Patent and Trademark Office	





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments improve the phrasing of certai claims, and correct a potential 112(2) problem, but do not overcome the substantive rejections made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).